1	IN THE MATTER OF:
2	US BEVERAGE, INC., Plaintiff,
3	vs.
4	JOHN BUSTER WALKER, II,
5	and TRIDENT MARKETING, INC., Defendants.
6	
7	JOHN BUSTER WALKER, II,
8	and TRIDENT MARKETING, INC.,
9	Counterclaim Plaintiffs,
10	vs.
11	US BEVERAGE, INC.,
12	Counterclaim Defendant,
13	and
14	GRADY DOWLING KITTRELL,
15	THOMAS GOIN CLARK, III,
16	and NORMAN "BUDDY" TODD,
17	Third Party Defendants.
18	
19	CIVIL ACTION NO.
20	2:06-CV-496-SRW
21	
22	DEPONENT: THOMAS GOIN CLARK, III
23	DATE: November 16, 2006

Case 2:06-cv-00496-MEF-SRW , Do	cument 1	25-6 Filed 03/16/2007 STIPULATIONS	Page 2 of 7 ³
	2	It is stipulated and agreed	by and
1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	3	between counsel representing th	e parties that
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION	4	the deposition of THOMAS GOIN	
3 US BEVERAGE, INC., Plaintiff,	5	be taken before Tiffany B. Beasle	
4 vs. JOHN BUSTER WALKER,	6	Court Reporter and Notary Public	
5 II, and TRIDENT CIVIL ACTION NO. MARKETING, INC., Defendants. 2:06-CV-496-SRW	7	the State of Alabama at Large, w	
7 JOHN BUSTER WALKER,	8	formality of a commission; and a	
II, and TRIDENT 8 MARKETING, INC.,	9	with respect to other procedural	
Counterclaim 9 Plaintiffs, vs.			
10 US BEVERAGE, INC., Counterclaim	10	is waived; that objections to que	
11 Defendant, and 12 GRADY DOWLING	11	than objections as to the form of	
KITTRELL, THOMAS GOIN 13 CLARK, III, and	12	questions, need not be made at	
NORMAN "BUDDY" TODD, 14 Third Party Defendants.	13	may be reserved for a ruling at s	
perendants.	14	the deposition may be offered in	
16 * * * * * * * * * * DEPOSITION OF THOMAS GOIN CLARK, III,	15	used for any other purpose by ei	
17 taken pursuant to notice and stipulation on behalf of the Defendants/Counterclaim 18 Plaintiffs, in the Law Offices of Copeland,	16	provided by the Federal Rules of	Civil
Franco, Screws & Gill, 444 South Perry Street, 19 Montgomery, Alabama, before Tiffany B.	17	Procedure.	
Beasley, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Alabama at Large, on November 16, 2006, commencing at	18	It is further stipulated and a	greed by
21 8:57 a.m.	19	and between the parties hereto a	and the
22	20	witness, that the signature of the	e witness to
23	21	this deposition is hereby waived.	
	22		
	23		
2	1	INDEX	4
2 APPEARANCES	1 2	INDEX	4
	2 3	EXAMINATION	<u>Page</u>
	2 3 4		<u>Page</u>
APPEARANCES	2 3 4 RD 5 6	EXAMINATION	<u>Page</u>
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS	2 3 4 RD 5	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	<u>Page</u> 5
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS:	2 3 4 RD 5 6	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	<u>Page</u> 5 <u>Page</u>
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 8 5 6 7	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	<u>Page</u> 5 <u>Page</u>
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill	2 3 4 RD 5 6 7	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	<u>Page</u> 5 <u>Page</u>
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street	2 3 4 8 5 6 7	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 1 Composite Exhibit (The following exhibits were previously marked in this case a	Page 5 Page 175
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 1 Composite Exhibit (The following exhibits were	Page 5 Page 175
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 1 Composite Exhibit (The following exhibits were previously marked in this case a referred to at the following page	Page 5 Page 175
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 1 Composite Exhibit (The following exhibits were previously marked in this case a	Page 5 Page 175 nd s:)
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 1 Composite Exhibit (The following exhibits were previously marked in this case a referred to at the following page 4	Page 5 Page 175 nd es:)
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 and es:)
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152 160
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D Auburn, Alabama 36830	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D Auburn, Alabama 36830 ALSO PRESENT: GRADY DOWLING KITTRELL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152 160 167 169 172
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D Auburn, Alabama 36830 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 1 Composite Exhibit (The following exhibits were previously marked in this case a referred to at the following page 4	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152 160 167 169
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D Auburn, Alabama 36830 ALSO PRESENT: GRADY DOWLING KITTRELL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152 160 167 169 172
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D Auburn, Alabama 36830 ALSO PRESENT: GRADY DOWLING KITTRELL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152 160 167 169 172
APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT/THIS PARTY DEFENDANTS: C. NELSON GILL, ESQUIRE Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill 444 South Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 FOR THE DEFENDANTS/COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFFS: RAYMOND L. JACKSON, JR., ESQUIRE 660 North College Street Suite D Auburn, Alabama 36830 ALSO PRESENT: GRADY DOWLING KITTRELL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINATION MR. JACKSON	Page 5 Page 175 134 132 92 143 148 152 160 167 169 172

1	ı A.	Case 2:06-cv-00496-MEF-SRW ⁷⁷ Docu I don't recall.	men	t 25-6	Filed 03/16/2007 Page 3 of 7 ⁷⁹ money. In Montgomery, Alabama, our largest
2	2 Q.	What do you recall about the proposal to sell	2		competitor in the school business, his
3	3	Juice Alive to day care centers?	3		brand his name is Bill Givens, and well,
-	Α.	I recall that there being some arrangement	4		he was required to come up with a brand. He
		where John and Ryan Hamner would create a	5		came up with Givens Juice, and he spent no
6	3	market for our day care product on the	6		money on it. And up until recently, had the
7	•	Internet.	7		day care business with his brand, which he
8	Q.	And what was the day care product? Just	8		paid no money to call it Givens Juice.
9)	describe it.	9	Q.	Can you develop and market a brand without any
10	A.	It was a hundred percent seven-plus-one juice.	10		money?
11		Hundred percent juice. Mixed ratio was	11	A.	Without cash?
12		seven-plus-one.	12	Q.	Yes.
13	Q.	And this juice, would this be a frozen slush	13	A.	Yes.
14		or just a	14	Q.	Do you recall what US Beverage would have
15	A.	It's the it's the same juice as the frozen	15		spent money on as regards this day care juice
16		slush, but it was just juice for sold in a	16		business?
17		juice I mean, sold the exact same way,	17	A.	What we would have spent money on in regards
18		packed the exact the exact same or	18		to what exactly?
19		similar way. Just not frozen. The end user	19	Q.	I mean, you said before it was a bad
20		didn't freeze it.	20		investment; you didn't have the money to do
21	Q.	Who brought up this proposal to sell the day	21		it. I'm asking you what specifically US
22		care juice under the Juice Alive name?	22		Beverage would have spent money money on as
23	A.	I believe John and Ryan.	23		part of this day care juice venture.
		78			80
1	Q.	Do you recall if there was any direct mail	1	A.	I believe that we spent money on the but I
2		advertisements sent out to day care centers?	2		can't say for sure, but I believe we spent
3	A.	Yes, I do recall that there was, and it was a	3		money on the production of the printing of
4		huge fiasco.	4		the flyer, or the mailer itself. I believe we
5	Q.	How was it a huge fiasco?	5		spent we paid for the postage, and I
6	A.	Well, we didn't really have the money to do	6		believe that we paid for a company to mail it
7		it, and our return on the investment was	7		for us, if I'm not mistaken.
8		was way, way, way lower than expectation.	8	Q.	Anything else?
9	Q.	When you said you didn't have the the	9	A.	No. But what I do recall is that we paid no
10		company didn't have the money to do it, how	10		money for the Juice Alive name because we
11		much money did US Beverage invest in this day	11		believed it was ours.
12		care project?	12	Q.	Well, I didn't ask you that.
13	A.	I don't recall. I just know that we didn't	13	A.	Well, I'm trying to relate the out-of-pocket
14		have the money to do it. It was a financial	14		expenses to do this and
15		strain to the business to do it, and it	15	Q.	Okay. Well okay. Any other expenses that
16		really it was just another bad investment.	16		you would have spent money on other than what
17	Q.	At that point in time, did US Beverage have	17		we've just discussed?
18		the financial wherewithal to start its own	18	A.	Well, I think we we would I believe we
3		brand?	19		paid for some shipping costs of different
40		MR. GILL: Object to the form.	20		things.
21	A.	Yes.	21	Q.	Would that not have been reimbursed by the
22	Q.	How so?	22		purchasers of the juice?
23	A.	Well, because you can start a brand with no	23	A.	No.

1	Q.	Case 2:06-cv-00496-MEF-SRW ⁸¹ Doc So I guess when you say "shipping costs,"	ument 1	25-6	Filed 03/16/2007 Page 4 of 7 83 certainly not to compete against us. And this
2	2	you're talking about, I guess, shipping the	2		was said to John by me the minute I heard that
3	3	product to US Beverage?	3		there was another brand, or that he had
ĵ.	Α.	I believe we paid for the shipping of anything	4		developed that brand and was planning on using
16		involved in that, if I'm not mistaken.	5		it against us. And in my conversations with
6	Q.	Okay. Well, you've already touched on it.	6		Grady, Grady said the same thing. So John was
7		Why don't you describe for us in as much	7		notified on the on the front end by both of
8		detail as you can why you claim that US	8		us, and we were adamant about it in every
9		Beverage owns the name Juice Alive?	9		conversation, that the brand was ours. When
10	A.	The Juice Alive name was developed although	10		we started using the brand, we didn't pay for
11		I don't recall the date that it was developed,	11		it. It wasn't until later on, when we agreed
12		I do recall that it was a date when our	12		to pay for it as part of a buyout plan, that
13		vice-president of sales, who was initially	13		we would give John the brand and pay for it,
14		given or given on the front end,	14		that we ever started paying for it, and the
15		responsibility of marketing and developing our	15		whole thing got out of control and, you know,
16		sales program, and while John while	16		became a weapon. Our own tool became a weapon
17		Mr. Walker was on our payroll, that that brand	17		to be used against us.
18		was developed. I think I just mumbo jumbo	18	Q.	Okay. Like I said, I want to give you a
19		sentence. But and that it was and that	19		chance. If there's anything else, I want to
20		it's John's responsibility as the	20		get it fully on the record, any facts or
21		vice-president of sales his job was to	21		evidence that you're aware of that supports
22		develop our and market our products. And	22		your allegation that US Beverage owns Juice
23		at the time that he was developing Juice	23		Alive.
		82			84
1		Alive, he was being paid full salary; he was	1		MR. GILL: Object to the form.
2		using our time, our phone, our contacts, US	2	A.	Those are the things that I can think of right
3		Beverage contacts; he was using our gas card;	3		now. But I would not want to be held that
4		he was using US Beverage's knowledge of the	4		this is the complete sum of everything that I
5		industry to develop these things, and he was	5		think, or we'd be here past 1 o'clock. Just
6		paid to develop those things; and that the	6		want to but that's a summary of things.
7		certainly, the principal area of the areas	7	Q.	Okay. Well, if there's any other facts or
8		of distribution encompassed those areas that	8		that you're aware of
9		we distributed that we distributed as a	9	A.	I'd have to
10		that US Beverage distributes as a company.	10	Q.	We can stay here as long as we need to. We
11	Q.	Anything else? I want to make sure that	11		can reconvene the deposition if we have to.
12		there's anything else that you claim shows	12	A.	I didn't bring my notes with me. If there's
13		ownership of the trademark.	13		anything pertinent, I'll submit it. I
14	A.	For US Beverage?	14		apologize for that.
15	Q.	Yes.	15	Q.	Well, that's fine. I will ask you, if there
16	A.	I believe that we told John when he	16		is anything that is pertinent that you haven't
17		presented when it was presented to each of	17		told us today, when you review your notes
18		us, that we told John that we didn't that	18		later, or whatever, if you'll provide that to
T.		he didn't have the authority to do this	19	,	your attorney.
40		outside the parameters; he didn't have the			And I would.
21		authority to compete against us; he didn't			What money did US Beverage spend in creating
22		have the authority to develop something using	22		the Juice Alive name?
23		US Beverage for his own personal use, and			Well, we were paying we were paying John a
I		<u> </u>			

1	ı	Case 2:06-cv-00496-MEF-SRW 85 Docu salary that I believe at the time,	ment		Filed 03/16/2007 Page 5 of 7 87 distribute to. And, in fact, distributed to
2	2	salary plus and I don't remember if it was	2		each of those.
3	3	salary or salary plus commissions, but	3	Q.	Is there any particular block of business that
. 4	r	certainly had the potential of somewhere	4		you wanted to acquire when you bought Tropical
-		between a hundred and a hundred thousand	5		Perfections?
6	;	plus to develop this brand. We paid his	6	A.	We thought we wanted at the time, we
7	,	travel expenses to develop this brand; we paid	7		thought we wanted to acquire the convenience
8	}	for his cell phone to develop this brand; we	8		store business.
9	ı	paid for his life insurance to develop this	9	Q.	Why do you say you thought you wanted to?
10	ı	brand; we paid for his health insurance to	10	A.	Well, it looked profitable on the front end,
11		develop this brand; we paid for the	11		but, you know, some discovery of the way that
12		advertising marketing scheme to help develop	12		John had used the money for the in the
13		this brand. You know, this mailer thing	13		convenience store monies, the particularly
14		that you know, that did not work out	14		the warranty monies for warranties gave me
15		that did not work for us. And so those were	15		a false reading of the profitability, and we
16		the monies paid and for the development of	16		just saw that it wasn't it just wasn't a
17		this brand.	17		great business for us. And I think we all
18	Q.	Before John Walker became associated with US	18		agreed to that; that it was just not our fit.
19		Beverage, wasn't he already in the juice	19	Q.	Do you still have convenience store customers
20		industry?	20		now?
21	A.	Yeah.	21	A.	We have a few. We are acquiring no new ones.
22	Q.	What was he doing at the time he became	22		We've got a short handful of convenience
23		associated with US Beverage? What was	23		stores that we are we evaluate every day.
		86			88
1		Mr. Walker doing? What was he doing for a	1		And as we lose one for whatever reason, we $\overset{\circ\varsigma}{\operatorname{re}}$
2		living at the time he became merged into	2		not renewing not making an attempt to
3		and became a shareholder of US Beverage?	3		acquire new ones.
4	A.	Well, the only thing that I know he was doing	4	Q.	So there's been a decision to get out of the
5		is he was the president of I believe he was	5		convenience store business?
6		the president of Tropical Perfections.	6		MR. GILL: Object to the form.
7	Q.	And what did Tropical Perfections sell?	7	A.	No. Not to get out of it but to change the
8	A.	They sold juice and some powders, some	8		way that we do it, change the way that our
9		equipment and parts, and service, and things	9		company does business with the convenience
10		like that.	10		stores.
11	Q.	Prior to the acquisition of Tropical	11	Q.	Okay. And how are you changing the way you do
12		Perfections, why don't you describe the lines	12		business with convenience stores?
13		of business that US Beverage was in?	13	A.	Well, we're requiring the first of all the
14	A.	We were involved in just we were involved	14		onus of or the burden of the business to be $\underline{}$
15		in just about every facet of the beverage	15		placed on them as opposed to placed on us. I_n^e
16		industry. If you could dispense it, we were	16		the past, we would install equipment mostly at
17		doing it. We distributed juice to day cares,	17		for at no charge, distribute distribute
18		restaurants, bars, hotels, military bases,	18		to them. When we acquired John's company, a
3		country clubs, concession stands, water parks,	19		preponderance of the customers were charge
		convention facilities, schools, military I	20		accounts, and they didn't pay very well. They
21		may have said it military bases. Any	21		abused the equipment. Repairs were costly,
22		venues that that could use products like	22		service if you serviced them properly, you
23		ours, we distributed we attempted to	23		couldn't make any money. And so we just

1	l	Case 2:06-cv-00496-MEF-SRW ⁸⁹ Docu and we would as we would acquire a	ımen 1	_	6 Filed 03/16/2007 Page 6 of 7 91 Is it your contention that US Beverage paid
2	2	convenience store, we would under that	2		for the flyers that were sent out by Trident
3	}	fashion, somebody said they wanted to do	3		Marketing outside of the three states?
A		business with us, we just we'd slap a	4		MR. GILL: Object to the form.
		machine in there and start losing money with	5	Α.	It's my contention that US Beverage paid for
6	;	them. And we do some business we have	6		the materials involved in this experiment that
7	,	other products that we can sell to convenience	7		we were doing.
8	;	stores, and we still and we'll sell them	8	_	I think you touched on it before, but did US
9		something if they'll pay for it up front. But	9		Beverage enter into a licensing agreement with
10		to do business like we did before, we are	10		Trident Marketing to distribute Juice Alive?
11		we made a decision to get out of the	11	A.	When did we touch on that?
12		convenience store business in that fashion.	12	Q.	You mentioned it a few minutes ago. You said
13	Q.	Do you know what role Ryan Hamner had in the	13		it was a part of a buyout, I think is what you
14		creation of the Juice Alive name?	14		said.
15	A.	I was told that he was a expert on Internet	15	A.	Well, we no. We proposed I think it's
16		marketing.	16		two different issues there. We proposed as a
17	Q.	Is Ryan Hamner an employee of US Beverage?	17		part of a buyout to give the Juice Alive to
18	A.	No.	18		John as part of the buyout plan. Not to just
19	Q.	Has he ever been an employee of US Beverage?	19		simply give it to him.
20	A.	No.	20	Q.	And I'm going to show you what was marked as
21	Q.	Just one quick follow-up as to the day care	21		Defendant's Exhibit 16 in the deposition of
22		juice promotion that we talked about earlier.	22		Grady Kittrell.
23		Was US Beverage assigned a specific territory	23	A.	Uh-huh.
		90			92
1		to sell day care to sell to day cares?	1	Q.	And rather than reproduce all these exhibits
2	A.	Assigned a specific territory?	2		again, I'm just going to use
3	Q.	Yes.	3		MR. JACKSON: If it's okay with you,
4	A.	By whom? By Juice Alive?	4		Nelson.
5	Q.	By anyone.	5	Q.	use exhibits from the first deposition.
6	A.	Well, we sold no.	6		(Defendants' Exhibit 16 was
7	Q.	In terms of sending out these flyers and	7		previously marked and is not
8		selling the Juice Alive product, was US	8		attached hereto.)
9		Beverage assigned three states?	9		MR. GILL: Well, as long as we
10	A.	I don't recall that. I don't even actually	10		reference it and we're clear.
11		know where we sent the fliers to. I wouldn't	11	Q.	I ask you to read the first sentence under the
12		have I I don't recall that.	12		word "Gary"
13	Q.	Do you recall if Trident Marketing sent the	13	A.	Uh-huh.
14		flyers out?	14	Q.	from that document.
15	A.	I'm not trying to bounce around the question.	15	A.	(As read:) We have reached an agreement with
16		I don't recall. I don't know where the fliers	16		Juice Alive to start distribution of the Juice
17		were sent to, actually.	17		Alive brand in our 100 percent juice products.
18	Q.	Is it your contention that US Beverage paid	18	Q.	Okay. And who is that document signed by?
or y		for the flyers that were sent out by Trident	19	A.	Tom Clark.
/·		Marketing to day care centers to sell day care	20	Q.	And that's you; right?
21		juice?	21	A.	Uh-huh.
22	A.	Yes. I'm sorry. Would you ask me that	22	Q.	Is that your signature?
23		question again?	23	A.	Yes.

		Coop 2:00 av 00400 MEE CDW ⁹³ Door		25.0	Filed 02/40/2007 Perc 7 of 7
•	1 Q.	Case 2:06-cv-00496-MEF-SRW Document?	ument	A.	Filed 03/16/2007 Page 7 of 7 Gary is the our representative with Supreme
2	2 A.	Yes.	2		Manufacturing.
3	3 Q.	Why don't you read the next sentence?	3	Q.	And you mentioned that this was signed under
	^ A.	(As read:) Please let this signed fax serve as	4		coercion.
		official authorization for you to begin	5	A.	Yes.
6	6	selling US Beverage, Inc., the Juice Alive	6	Q.	Can you describe that for us?
7	7	products with 1.20 increase per case to be	7	A.	Yes. John contacted we were this was
8	3	paid to Juice Alive.	8		signed at the Mississippi or this agreement
9	Q.	Does that fax strike that question. I'm	9		was made at the Mississippi trade show, if I'm
10)	sorry.	10		not mistaken. And Grady and John met and
11		If US Beverage owned the name	11		discussed some things, then I was brought in
12	<u> </u>	Juice Alive, why did US Beverage, pursuant to	12		at the end of the deal, I think. When they
13	;	this fax, agree to pay a case up-charge to	13		laid out the solution for for logical
14	ı	Juice Alive?	14		separation, prior to that arrangement, or
15		MR. GILL: Object to the form.	15		prior to that meeting, Mr. Walker had called
16	A.	It was done as a under coercion, under the	16		me and notified me that he had a booth at the
17		most stressful circumstances possible. It was	17		Mississippi show and was going to be selling
18		done in a good faith on the part of US	18		his Juice Alive product individually unless
19		Beverage to attempt to bring back the original	19		and we also had a booth there and that
20		proposal, or assembleance of the original	20		unless we agreed to to start selling his
21		proposal, of August, and it was our show of	21		product, that he would compete against us. We
22		good faith that we believed that at that point	22		were already in extreme financial trouble. We
23		in time, if we handed over Juice Alive to John	23		had no sales force. Our John had
		94			96
1		under the circumstances in which this	1		abandoned or John had not been selling in
2		agreement was made, that we could finish our	2		our business for quite some time, and we were
3		separation.	3		essentially on our last leg financially.
4	Q.	Did US Beverage purchase any Juice Alive	4		And we had we felt like we
5		products pursuant to this agreement?	5		had no choice at this point in time but to
6	A.	Yes.	6		cooperate with John so that we could join
7	Q.	Did US Beverage pay the case up-charge for	7		forces and sell it was the only chance we
8		those	8		were going to have to only chance we had of
9	A.	Yes.	9		making it financially, we felt like at the
10	Q.	products?	10		time, was to submit to this coercive behavior.
11	A.	Yes.	11		You know, either you which
12	Q.	For how long did US Beverage continue	12	,	was presented to us, either you buy our
13		purchasing Juice Alive products pursuant to	13		product, or I'm competing against you, and
14		this agreement?	14		I'll put you out of business. Because as John
15	A.	Through May of the following year.	15		is our salesperson to all of our contacts at
16	Q.	And this agreement was dated I think it's	16		that time, John was still John was all our
17		dated November 18th, 2005; is that correct?	17		contacts knew of US Beverage. And our like
	A.	Yes.	18		any other sales thing, it's a relationship.
	Q.	And would the fax have been sent on the same	19		There's not necessarily a loyalty to the
(دیم		day?	20		company; there's a loyalty to the
21	Α.	It would have been sent around that time for	21		relationship. And we had absolutely no choice
22		sure.	22		at the time but to sign it or go out of
	Q.	And who's Gary that it's made out to?	23		ousiness.
		any maches made out to:			740:::: 0 33: